



# TRAFFORD COUNCIL

## AGENDA PAPERS FOR SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date: Wednesday, 11 March 2020

Time: 6.30 p.m.

Place: Committee Room 2 and 3, Trafford Town Hall, Talbot Road, Stretford,  
M32 0TH

<b>A G E N D A</b>	<b>PART I</b>	<b>Pages</b>
2. <b>MINUTES</b>		1 - 4
To receive and, if so determined, to agree as a correct record the Minutes of the meeting held on 15 January 2020.		
7. <b>TRO LIST AND PRIORITISATION PROCESS</b>		5 - 16
To receive a report from the Corporate Director of Place.		
11. <b>EXECUTIVE RESPONSE TO BUDGET SCRUTINY</b>		17 - 20
To receive a report from the Executive Member for Finance and Investment.		
12. <b>SCRUTINY REVIEW 2019/20</b>		
The Committee are asked to note that a review of Trafford Council's Scrutiny process will be conducted in line with the time table below. The process will consist of a short questionnaire being sent to all Scrutiny Members, and a meeting where all Scrutiny Members are invited to discuss what has worked well and what can be improved. Information from the review will be fed into the Annual Scrutiny report.		

Date	Activity
12 March 2020	Questionnaire sent to Scrutiny Committee Members
21 April 2020	Scrutiny Review
June/July 2020	Annual Scrutiny Report submitted to Scrutiny Committees
22 July 2020	Annual Scrutiny Report Presented to Council

**SARA TODD**  
Chief Executive

Membership of the Committee

Councillors D. Acton (Chair), J. Lamb (Vice-Chair), J. Holden, B. Shaw, R. Thompson, A.J. Williams, B.G. Winstanley, A.M. Whyte, Dr. K. Barclay (ex-Officio), D. Western (ex-Officio) and J.D. Newgrosh.

Further Information

For help, advice and information about this meeting please contact:

Alexander Murray, Governance Officer,  
Tel: 0161 912 4250  
Email: [alexander.murray@trafford.gov.uk](mailto:alexander.murray@trafford.gov.uk)

This agenda was issued on **Thursday, 5 March 2020** by the Legal and Democratic Services Section, Trafford Council, Trafford Town Hall; Talbot Road, Stretford, Manchester, M32 0TH

**WEBCASTING**

This meeting will be filmed for live and / or subsequent broadcast on the Council's website and / or YouTube channel <https://www.youtube.com/user/traffordcouncil>  
The whole of the meeting will be filmed, except where there are confidential or exempt items.

If you make a representation to the meeting you will be deemed to have consented to being filmed. By entering the body of the Committee Room you are also consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes. If you do not wish to have your image captured or if you have any queries regarding webcasting of meetings, please contact the Democratic Services Officer on the above contact number or email [democratic.services@trafford.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@trafford.gov.uk)

Members of the public may also film or record this meeting. Any person wishing to photograph, film or audio-record a public meeting is requested to inform Democratic Services in order that necessary arrangements can be made for the meeting. Please contact the Democratic Services Officer 48 hours in advance of the meeting if you intend to do this or have any other queries.

## **SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

**15 JANUARY 2020**

### **PRESENT**

Councillors D. Acton (in the Chair), J. Lamb (Vice-Chair), J. Holden, B. Shaw, R. Thompson, A.J. Williams and A.M. Whyte.

#### In attendance

Sara Saleh	Corporate Director of People
Tim Rhodes	Statutory Scrutiny Officer
Ian Wallace	Trafford Housing Trust
Tony McMahon	Head of Building Safety, Trafford Housing Trust
Alexander Murray	Governance Officer

### **APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors B.G. Winstanley, Dr. K. Barclay and D. Western.

#### **1. MINUTES**

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held 13 November 2019 be agreed as an accurate record and signed by the Chair.

#### **2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

No additional interests were declared.

#### **3. QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC**

No questions from the public were received.

#### **4. CORPORATE PLAN QUARTER 2 PERFORMANCE REPORT**

The Corporate Director of People introduced the report that had been circulated with the agenda. As Members had already had chance to read the report the Chair asked the Committee if they had any questions. A Committee Member asked how the Council had come up with the target for preventing people from becoming homeless. The Corporate Director of People did not know what had led to that target and would pass the information onto the Committee after the meeting.

A Committee Member asked whether the report went to the other Scrutiny Committee's agenda's given that a lot of the information was more closely to their remits. The Governance Officer responded that it was not currently listed to go to the other Scrutiny Committee's and that the Chairs would be contacted to see if they would like it to be added to their work programme.

Another Member of the Committee noted that the report was for quarter 2 and asked whether there was any more up to date information as to the Council's performance for Delayed Transfers of Care. The Corporate Director informed the

**Scrutiny Committee**  
**15 January 2020**

---

Committee that there had been a delay in reporting due to the purdah period for the recent general election. The Corporate Director said that she would get further information to pass onto Committee Members.

RESOLVED:

- 1) That the report be noted.
- 2) That the Chairs of the Health Scrutiny Committee and Children and Young People's Scrutiny Committee be asked if they would like to receive performance reports going forward.
- 3) That the Corporate Director of People provide answers to Committee Members questions after the meeting.

## **5. HIGH RISE CLADDING UPDATE**

The Chair brought item 10 on the agenda forward and into the public part of the meeting as the Chair felt that the Committee's questions did not relate to any confidential information. The Chair advised the representatives of Trafford Housing Trust (THT) that if they felt that they could not answer a question without revealing confidential information to notify the Committee that was the case.

The representatives from THT introduced themselves and stated that the trust was committed to being as open and transparent as they could be, especially with regards to this issue. Committee Members were then given the opportunity to raise any questions.

The Chair noted the timeline that had been given to the Committee was not going to be met. The Chair then asked what was happening regarding re-cladding and if there had been any progress regarding the possible roll out of sprinklers in THT buildings. The THT Representatives informed the Committee that work had started but there had been some delays.

The cost of the replacement was to be paid by the government through Homes England and as such THT had been diligent in capturing all the information relating to the cost of the project which had taken longer than originally thought. Work on three towers was underway and it was predicted that all five towers would be complete by summer 2020.

Since Grenfell THT had kept the residents in the 5 affected buildings updated on the situation within those buildings. THT had implemented a 24/7 waking watch in all five buildings which consisted of two fire wardens patrolling each building hourly.

A Committee Member asked who would sign off the buildings as safe once the work was completed. The representatives of THT responded that the building would be signed off by Thomson's who were their appointed experts to ensure that all works were completed and were safe.

Another Committee Member noted that a number of other providers had moved quickly to remove the ACM cladding and they asked why THT had not acted sooner. The THT representatives responded that THT had planned to remove the

**Scrutiny Committee**  
**15 January 2020**

---

cladding in 2018 but they had been advised by GMFRS not to remove the cladding until it was being replaced. Other organisations had removed the cladding and were criticised for doing so as it actually made the situation worse.

A Committee Member then asked whether residents were clear on what they should do in case of a fire given the changes that had been made. The THT representatives responded that THT had spent £7 million on communication, engagement, and fire safety since Grenfell and they were confident that all residents knew what to do if there was a fire.

The Representatives from THT then told the Committee about the work that they had been doing with regard to retrofitting sprinklers in buildings. The work included taking residents to Sheffield to view a retrofitting programme that had been completed there and so they could ask questions of other residents who had been through the process. A Committee Member asked whether THT were planning to fit sprinklers in individual flats or just communal areas. The THT representatives informed the Committee that there were a number of options for installation but the safest option was to have them installed in each home.

Following the update the Chair thanked the THT representatives for attending the meeting and requested that they inform the Committee if there was to be any further slippage on the cladding replacement programme.

RESOLVED:

- 1) That the THT representatives be thanked for attending the meeting.
- 2) That the update be noted by the Committee.
- 3) That THT are to update the Committee if further slippage of the recladding programme occurs.

**6. 2018/19 OMBUDSMAN REPORT**

The Chair of the Committee introduced the report to the Committee and asked if there were any questions. One Committee Member asked if this report went to the other Scrutiny Committee's. Another Member noted that there had been a significant payment award and asked why the amount had not been disclosed to the Committee. The Governance Officer noted the questions and would contact the relevant officers to get answers for the Committee.

RESOLVED:

- 1) That the report be noted.
- 2) That the Governance Officer is to raise the Committee's questions with the relevant Officers.

**7. 2019/20 BUDGET SCRUTINY REPORT**

The Chair briefly presented the report highlighting the main points to the Committee. Following the introduction Committee Members were given the opportunity to raise questions prior to agreeing the report but none were asked.

**Scrutiny Committee**  
**15 January 2020**

---

RESOLVED: That the report be noted and its recommendations agreed.

**8. OVERVIEW REPORT**

The Chair presented the Overview Report to the Committee and asked if there were any questions. No questions were raised and the report was noted.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

The meeting commenced at 6.35 pm and finished at 7.11 pm

## TRAFFORD COUNCIL

**Report to:** Scrutiny Committee  
**Date:** 11th March 2020  
**Report for:** Information  
**Report of:** Executive Member Environment, Clean Air and Climate Change

### Report Title

Traffic Regulation Orders (TRO) List and Prioritisation Process

### Summary

This report identifies the process undertaken to consider the introduction of TRO's within Trafford, the legislative process, the development of a Matrix to manage priorities, identifying the key stages, and management of the subsequent waiting list.

### Recommendation(s)

It is recommended that the report be noted.

### Contact person for access to background papers and further information:

Name: Sharon Walls  
Tel: 07970 252571

### **Background**

#### **1. What is a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO)?**

- 1.1 A Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) is the legal tool used by Highway Authorities to control vehicular movement and waiting along and on the highway. It is used to ban, limit or influence the use of roads by vehicles or pedestrians. TRO's are introduced by statute through the Road Traffic Regulation Act, 1984.
- 1.2 The highway is intended to maintain traffic flow and no right of parking exists on the highway. The only rights you have on a highway are to pass and repass. Parking provision is therefore a concession and, however desirable, should not be at the expense of traffic flow. Parking restrictions aim to resolve specific local issues.
- 1.3 Most residential estates were not designed for the number of cars using them today. The lack of on-street parking is the main cause of complaints from residents, resulting in many requests for resident permit parking or a TRO to restrict parking.
- 1.4 The process for making a permanent TRO is subject to national legislation - The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996. These regulations identify a series of steps that must be taken before making an order, including:

Carrying out consultation with various organisations including the stakeholders/residents, police, the highway authority, the fire & rescue service, the road haulage association, the freight transport association, the ambulance service and the bus company;

- Publishing a notice of the proposals in a local newspaper;
- Allowing potential objectors a minimum of 21 days to comment;
- Consider and resolve any objections;
- If the Order is approved, the TRO is officially sealed. The TRO is then published in a local newspaper with an operational date. Once the provisions of the Order have been introduced by the contractor, the restrictions can be enforced.

## 2 TRO Requests Waiting List

- 2.1 The Council receives many requests to introduce new and/or amend exiting waiting/parking restrictions. Requests are received from members of the public, Councillors and Members of Parliament on behalf of their constituents via several mediums i.e. email, letter, telephone etc. Unfortunately, resources do not enable all requests to be processed. As a result, all requests received are noted and added to the Council's waiting list for consideration for future implementation. It is worthwhile noting that there are no circumstances or provisions that require fulfilling by the requestor to enable their TRO request to be added to the waiting list.
- 2.2 The waiting list has an inbuilt Matrix function thus, it is also a prioritisation tool. Whilst all requests received are added to the list at the first point of contact, with no conditions associated to the request, it is the Matrix prioritisation functionality that gives a formulated structure to the list.
- 2.3 Essentially, a prioritisation methodology is often deployed by Authorities across the country to manage the number of requests for TROs.

## 3. The TRO Matrix

- 3.1 Owing to the large volume of requests for action received, and the time and cost it takes to investigate and introduce an appropriate TRO, it is important to investigate and prioritise each request so that those areas in most need are given greater priority. As a result, One Trafford has developed a Matrix to provide a fair and equitable process for prioritising the large number of requests for TRO's. The Matrix also assists staff in providing informative and prompt responses to all correspondence received and in maintaining records of responses.
- 3.2 The Matrix is simple to use and works by providing a series of individually weighted answers to a range of technical questions that are individually scored, thereby producing an overall 'priority score' for each request. Essentially, locations with the highest scores are deemed to be of high priority thereby in most need to be dealt with first.
- 3.3 The variables within the matrix that combine to make up the final 'score' include collision statistics and an assessment of the parking impact on congestion, amenity and quality of life etc. A snapshot of the matrix variables considered, and an example of how some of the schemes prioritised for progression in the 2019/20 CP scored is shown on **Appendix 6** to this report.
- 3.4 The matrix is made up of three stages:



### **Stage 1: Desk Top Study**

- Basic study to answer pre-defined questions and provide a priority 'score'.

### **Stage 2: Further examination of high scoring requests in preparation for recommendation for inclusion in the Capital Programme (CP)**

- Further examination, cost estimates and site visits (schemes may still go down at this stage owing to further information becoming available following a more in-depth analysis or other locations being added).

### **Stage 3: Final assessment and costing – included within CP**

- Feasibility complete, costed and intervention identified – included within CP.

## **4. Updating the TRO Matrix.**

- 4.1 Stage 1 is undertaken throughout the year as TRO requests are received. Stages 2 & 3 are completed on the build up to compiling the CP. This means the Matrix is updated/reviewed annually, immediately prior to developing the CP. There however are minor updates to some requests during the year due to further information becoming available e.g. reports from the Councils Waste Department personnel regarding access issues for refuse collection vehicles, multiple requests for a single location resulting in an update of its 'Number of requests' score.
- 4.2 However, as the Matrix is a sliding scale, the position of schemes can change throughout the year. As new requests come in and are scored, they can potentially be given a higher score than those already within the list.

## **5. Number of TRO's currently underway**

- 5.1 During the current 19/20 financial year, the One Trafford Partnership is processing 19 individual TRO's (exclusive of schemes that have been completed), all of varying sizes and levels of complexity. There are currently 271 requests for TRO's on the Matrix/waiting list. On average 10 new TROs are prioritised and progressed per annum depending on funding availability. For example, 7 TROs were prioritised for the 2019/20 CP, whilst 12 TROs were prioritised for the 2018/19 CP. A list of TROs currently being progressed is show on **Appendices 2 - 4** to this report. **Appendix 1** shows the schemes likely to be progressed in 2020/21 financial year (based on prospective funding), with **Appendix 5** showing all the 271 TRO requests currently on the waiting list.
- 5.2 Regrettably, some low scoring TRO requests are unlikely to get to the progression stage due to their low priority rating. These however remain on the list, as this aids staff in responding to the public in the event of queries being raised. Furthermore, and their scores are updated where possible, thus giving them the opportunity to improve their priority rating.
- 5.2 The Partnership is also in the process of designing and consulting on some 10 area wide Residents Parking Schemes (RPS). If implemented, these schemes could fulfil some of the low priority TROs as some will inevitably be included within the areas of RPS areas.

## 6.0 Conclusion

- 6.1 As a prioritisation methodology, the TRO Matrix has proven to be an effective tool at scoring and prioritising queries, allowing a fair, quick, cost effective and equitable way of responding to members of the public; whilst analysing all requests in the same consistent manner.

### APPENDIX 1

<b>2020/21 TROs (Based on prospective funding)</b>	
1	Moorside Road, Urmston (Junctions with Croftsbank Road, Denstone Road, Hayeswater Road and Roseneath Road)
2	Dawson Road/Sinderland Road, Altrincham (Extension of existing junction protection)

### APPENDIX 2

<b>2019/20 Ongoing TROs</b>	
1	Wythenshawe Road, Sale
2	Booth Road / Gorse Lane,/Grey Road,/Wainwright Road, Altrincham (Also Hartley Road)
3	Derbyshire Road South, Sale (bus stop on Marsland Road end)
4	Derwent Road, Urmston and Irlam Road, Urmston (outside Delamere School)
5	Buck Lane, Sale (at junction with Carrington Lane)
6	Kenmore Drive, Timperley, Altrincham
7	Mode Wheel Circle, Stretford

### APPENDIX 3

<b>18/19 Ongoing TROs</b>	
1	High Elm Road area, Hale Barns
2	Woodbourne Road, Sale (Framingham Road area)
3	Brook Lane/Moss Lane junction, Timperley
4	Chorlton Road/ Bold Street junction, Old Trafford (Linked to Tamworth Towers)
5	Ayres Road, Old Trafford (Between Northumberland Road and Shrewsbury Street)
6	Hayeswater Road/Davyhulme Road, Davyhulme
7	Grove Lane, Hale - between Moss Lane and Delahays Road
8	Broad Lane, Hale Barns
9	Heyes Lane / Langham Grove, Timperley

**APPENDIX 4**

<b>Historic (17/18) Ongoing TROs</b>	
1	TROs around Bowdon C of E school, Bowdon
2	Ridgeway Road, Timperley
3	Etchells Road, Broadheath

**APPENDIX 5**

<b>TRO REQUESTS (currently on the waiting list)</b>	
1	Moorside Road / Crofts Bank Road, Urmston. (Note other requests for Moorside road).Also Moorside Road opposite Golden Hill Park. <i>(in line to be prioritised in 2020/21 CP)</i>
2	Dawson Road, Broadheath, Altrincham <i>(in line to be prioritised in 2020/21 CP)</i>
3	Charlotte Place/Hale Road Junction, Hale
4	Cornhill Road, Urmston (junction protection near St. Marys C of E school)
5	Flixton Road, Urmston (Outside Bargain Booze)
6	Hilrose Avenue, Urmston, M419PB
7	Moorside Road / Hayswater Road, Urmston
8	Woodsend Road, Highbury Avenue and Bishop Road, Urmston
9	Beeston Avenue, Altrincham (Stockport Road end) also St Leonards Drive area
10	Meadow Road, Hale
11	Flixton Road, Urmston (junctions with Grosvenor Road, Roseneath Road, Wycliffe Road, Newton Road, Glenhaven Avenue, Victoria Road, Stamford Road, Spennithorne Road)
12	Fownhope Road, Sale
13	Kings Road / Truro/Tresco Avenue/Faulkner Road, Stretford
14	Barrington Road, Altrincham
15	Priory Road / Dane Road, Sale
16	Clover Road / Green Lane North, Timperley (Outside Cloverlea School)
17	Stretford Road / Hatro Court, Urmston
18	Stamford Park Road / Acacia Avenue, Hale
19	Cornhill Road, Urmston
20	Winchester road/Glastonbury road, Stretford
21	Flixton Road / Stamford Road, Urmston
22	Hale Road, Hale - (Limited Waiting between Brown Street and Victoria Road)
23	Laurel Drive / Wood Lane junction, Altrincham
24	Canterbury Road/Beverly Avenue junction, Urmston
25	Briar Close, Urmston
26	Mossgrove Road, Timperley
27	Georges Road and The Grove, Sale
28	Tilney Avenue, Stretford
29	Derby Road / Croftsbank Road, Urmston
30	Turnbull Road (near roundabout) / Badger Road, Altrincham

31	Old Heyes Road, Timperley
32	Warburton Way, Timperley
33	Lacy Grove, Stretford ( and nearby roads)
34	Shawe Road/Shawe View, Urmston
35	Harboro Road / Norbury Avenue Junction Protection, Sale
36	Clifton Road, Urmston
37	Swan Road/Granby Road, Timperley
38	Headingley Drive, Stretford
39	Norman Road, Altrincham
40	Wharf Road, Sale
41	Park Avenue, Sale, (junction protection)
42	Park Avenue, Sale (Parking opposite side-road from A56 to York Ave)
43	Canterbury Road/Tiverton Road/ Hartford Road/Sherbourne Road/Guliford Road etc, Urmston
44	Isherwood Road, Carrington
45	Alpha Road / Beta Avenue, Stretford
46	Edge Lane, Stretford
47	Ashley Road / Marlborough Road & Bowdon Rise (only Bowdon Rise outstanding)
48	Clarence Road / Moss Lane, Hale
49	Urban Road, Altrincham
50	Gorse Crescent, Stretford
51	Stretford Road / Gilpin Road / Blinco Road, Urmston
52	Norris Road, Sale (junctions of Croft Road and Royton Avenue and outside Sale High)
53	Sinderland Road / Irwin Road and Holt Street and Sinderland/Hill Street junctions
54	Edale Road, Stretford
55	Cherry Lane, Sale
56	Eastway, Sale
57	Holly Grove & Clarendon Road, Sale
58	Beverley Avenue, Urmston
59	Moorside Road / Lawrence Road, Urmston (Grass verge parking obstructing visibility)
60	Urmston Lane/Ryecroft Road, Stretford
61	Drayton Cloce and Coppice Avenue Junction, Sale
62	Woodbourne Rd, Sale - Gap between SKC (ongoing)
63	Firs Road/Barwell Road Junction, Sale
64	Canterbury Road Shops, Urmston
65	Wythenshawe Road/Old Hall Road jct, Sale
66	St Clement Court, Urmston (Manor avenue opposite entrance to St.Clement.)
67	The Firs, Bowdon
68	Georges Road and The Grove, Sale
69	Kentmere Road Chicane, Kentmer road/Sherway Dr
70	Manor Road/Moss Park Road, Stretford
71	Normans Place, Altrincham

72	Lostock Road/Wesminster Road - Davyhulme
73	Dorclyn Avenue, Urmston (Junction with Ashfield Road)
74	Melville Road / Barton Road, Stretford
75	Rothiemay Road / Woodsend Road South, Urmston
76	Copplestone Drive / Christchurch Road, Sale
77	Mainwood Road, Timperley (Near Youth Centre )
78	Beech Road/Cedar Road junction, Hale
79	Northumberland Road, Stretford
80	Ashley Road, Hale
81	Ashleigh Road and Oakdene Road, Timperley
82	Dalton Avenue, Stretford
83	Brooklands Station Approach/Framingham Road/Brooklands Road service road, Sale
84	Oldfield Road, Altrincham (bend near No. 155)
85	Lacy Grove/Cooper Street junction, Stretford
86	Jackson Court, Flixton, Urmston
87	Audley Avenue, Stretford
88	Cromwell Road/Kings Road, Stretford
89	Winchester road, Stretford, o/s 219-229 (at one stop shop)
90	Tenth Street, Trafford Park
91	Grafton Street, Altrincham ( Not adopted )
92	Green Courts, Bowdon
93	Hartington Road, Altrincham
94	Highfield Avenue, Sale
95	New Copper Moss, Altrincham
96	Larch Close, Sale
97	Houldsworth Avenue, Timperley
98	Cecil Avenue/Harboro Road Junction (also Harboro Grove and Hesketh)
99	Stamford Road, Bowdon - (Ashley road end)
100	St Margarets Road, Bowdon
101	Wenlock road/Woodacre Close, Sale
102	Balsam Road, Timperley
103	Park Road / The Spring, Bowdon
104	44 Greatstone Road, Stretford
105	Gorse Lane, Stretford
106	Hanover Road, Broadheath, Altrincham
107	Carven Road, Altrincham
108	Croft Road/Norris Road, Sale
109	Central Way, Altrincham
110	Daniel Adamson Avenue, Partington
111	Beever Street / Henrietta Street, Stretford
112	Carrington Road (Near junction with Church Road), Urmston
113	Church Road / Grange Road, Urmston & Grange Rd/Westmorland Rd junctions
114	Railway Road, Urmston
115	Wood Lane/Rose walk/Laurel walk/cedar road, Partington

116	Northenden Road / Cheltenham Drive, Sale
117	The Mount, Altrincham
118	Laurel Walk, Partington
119	Groby Road, Aaltrincham (bottom end near the Devisdale)
120	St Hilda's Church, Warwick Road South, Stretford.
121	Fownhope Avenue, Sale
122	St James Court, Altrincham WA15 8FG
123	Barton Road, Urmston (opposite Spinning Gate development)
124	Warwick Court, Stretford
125	Southvale Crescent, Timperley
126	Mosley Road, Trafford Park, Stretford
127	Moss Road/Keswick Road, Stretford.
128	Verbena close / Erskine road, Partington
129	Derbyshire Lane, Stretford
130	Charlton Drive, Sale (Possible 3 hour limited waiting restriction - add on to Sale TC phases)
131	Park Ave, Sale
132	Park Road / Glebelands Road, Sale
133	Pheasant Rise, Hale
134	Clarendon Avenue, Altrincham
135	Dartford Road/Cross Street, Urmston
136	Cavendish Road, Gorse Hill, Stretford
137	Allgreave Close, Sale.
138	Cloverly Drive & Wood Lane Junction, Timperley
139	Radnor Street, Stretford, M32 8LE
140	Westmeade Drive, Timperley
141	St Leonard's Drive, Timperley WA15 7RS
142	Lostock Grove/Barton Road, Stretford
143	Hermitage, Bancroft, Westminster Roads, Hale
144	Wellington Road, Timperley - Apartments 39 Wellington Road
145	junction between Black Moss Road and Whitehouse Lane, Altrincham
146	Broomfield Road, Hale (Outside Hale Prep School)
147	Dane Lane, Sale, near No. 241 (near A6144/motorway junction)
148	Elton Road / Woodhouse Lane, Sale
149	Heywood Rd, Sale - extend operational times of permit scheme (ongoing scheme)
150	Irlam Road, Urmston (Opposite Kenilworth Road)
151	Seymore Grove / Shaftesbury Avenue, Timperley
152	Whitelake Avenue / Flixton Road, Urmston
153	Brick Kiln Row & York Rd / Vicarage Lane Area, Bowdon
154	Oakfield Road P & D Bay (charging hours reduction)
155	Rivington Road / Hale Road, Hale
156	Wood Road / Arcadia Avenue, Sale
157	2 cranberry road, M31 4FQ, Partington
158	54 Old Hall Road, Sale

159	Oak Road, Sale
160	Westwood avenue/Stretford Road, Urmston
161	Chorley Street, Stretford
162	Oakfield Street/Balmoral, Altrincham
163	Stamford Road/Hollywood junction, Bowdon
164	St. Teresa's Road, Stretford
165	Mainwood Road, Timperley WA15 7JU
166	Fownehope/A56 Washway Rd, Sale
167	Queens Road, Urmston (waiting restriction)
168	Broadway, Sale
169	Dumber Lane / Church Lane, Sale
170	Gibson Way, Altrincham
171	Manor Road, Sale
172	Friars Road, Sale (TRO amendment)
173	Roundabout junction of Churchill Way & Trafford Wharf Road, Stretford.
175	Delamer Road, Bowdon (Hazelfield House)
176	Chelford Road / Alderley Road, Sale
177	Devisdale Road, Bowdon
178	Massey Road and Knutsford Avenue, Sale
179	Framingham Road, Sale (ongoing scheme)
180	Oaklea Road/Carrington Lane
181	Skaife Road Sale
182	Flixton Road, Flixton
183	Langley Road, Sale
184	The Leas, Well Green ( off Grove Lane Area )
185	Viaduct Road, Broadheath, Altrincham
186	Norman Road, Altrincham
187	Ashleigh Road in junction with Oakdene Road, Timperley
188	Harp Road, Stretford
189	Langdale Road/Eastway Junction, Sale
190	Lyons Fold, Sale (& Southern Road)
191	Kings Road J/W Powell Street, Old Trafford
192	Humphrey Lane/Derbyshire Lane West, next to Humphrey Park and train station, opposite Firwood Ave.
193	Redcroft Road / Carrington Lane, Sale
194	Greenbank Road Car Park
195	Mercury Way, Stretford
196	Montague Road, Sale
197	East Downs Road, Bowdon
198	Kings Road, Stretford(Access to 404-418)
199	Thorsby Road, Timperley
200	Tamworth Street. Stretford
201	Hornby Road, Stretford
202	Marsland Road, Sale (in front of 227 and a bit in front of 229)
203	Hardwick Road and Pollard Square

204	Cecil Avenue, Sale (entrance to 83,85,87)
205	Dane Road, Sale
206	Lydney Road, Flixton
207	Manchester Street, Old Trafford
208	Moorland Avenue, Sale
209	Oakfield, Sale
210	Stamford Road / South Road, Bowdon
211	Ripon Grove, Sale
212	Park Drive / Park Avenue
213	Parkhill Road, Hale
214	Bankhall Lane outside Day Nursery
215	Shay Lane, Hale Barns
216	York Avenue, Sale
217	Little Meadow Road and Gaddum Road, Bowdon
218	Bloomsbury Lane / Stockport Road Junction - Timperley
219	Hempcroft Road, Timperley near Brooks Drive
220	Elevator Road, Stretford
221	Bollin Drive / Brooklands Road junction, Sale.
222	Enville Road / Stamford Road, Altrincham
223	Moor Lane, Urmston
224	Stamford Road, Bowdon (near Richmond Road end)
225	Green Walk, Bowdon
226	Stretford Mall (Service road on Kingsway side)
227	Bower Road. Harrop Road junction, Hale
228	20 Westmorland Road, Urmston
229	80 Dane Road / Dudley Road, Sale
230	Firs Road/Barwell Road Junction, Sale
231	Vicarage Lane, Bowdon
232	Abbey Road, Sale (side of 49 Park Ave)
233	Temple Road, Sale
234	Cromwell Road / Edge Lane, Stretford
235	Northenden Road / Ridley Grove, Sale
236	Stephenson Road, Stretford
237	Grove Lane / Green Walk Junction, Timperley.
238	Langdale Road, Sale
239	898 Chester Road, Stretford (M32 0PAService road outside of shops)
240	Gorse Avenue/Renton Road, Stretford
241	Harrington Road, Atrincham
242	Merlyn Avenue TRO (Metrolink Parking)
243	Wardle Close, Stretford
244	Worthington street, Stretford
245	Elmwood, Sale
246	Tatton Place, Sale
247	Whitehall Rd - from Brooklands Rd to Georges Rd
248	Beech Road, Sale



249	Westwood Avenue, Timperley
250	Brooklands Road, Sale
251	The Circle, Barton Road
252	Delamer Road, Bowdon (Between Cavendish Road and Enville Road)
253	Abingdon Road, Urmston J/W Canterbury Road
254	Northenden Road / Church Road
255	Brook Avenue, Timperley
256	Beech Grove, Sale
257	Hale Road / Carlton Road, Hale
258	Wavelley Avenue, Stretford
260	Barlow Road, Stretford
261	Aimson Place, Timperley (Junction protection)
262	Junction of Keswick road and Moss Road, Stretford
263	Lathom Grove, Sale
264	Lingfield Avenue, Sale
265	Turn Moss Road, Stretford
266	Higher Road, Urmston
267	Hasty Lane, Hale
268	Hayeswater Road, Urmston (Cornerstone Church)
269	Ryebank Road, Stretford
270	Bowfell Road Urmston (layby near the shops and outside the houses)
271	Moss Lane / Greylands Road, Sale

## APPENDIX 6 – TRO Matrix parameters & scores from some 19/20 schemes

			Booth Road Area, Altrincham	Derbyshire Road South, Sale	Irlam Road, Urmston	Buck Lane, Sale
		WARD	Altrincham	Brooklands	Flixton	Sale Moor
SUBJECT	PARAMETERS	POINTS RANGE	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE
1) 3 Year collision stats (collisions related to request)	FATAL	5 each	0	0	0	0
	SERIOUS	4 each	0	0	0	0
	SLIGHT	3 each	0	6	9	0
2) Assessment of Highway Network Operation	Significant safety or congestion benefit on an A-class road	5				
	Significant safety or congestion benefit on an B/C class road or lesser benefit on an A-class road	4	4	4		4
	Significant safety or congestion benefit on an unclassified road or lesser benefit on a B/C class	3				
	Lesser safety or congestion benefit on an unclassified road	2				
	Little safety or congestion benefit	1			1	
	No safety or congestion benefit or detrimental to road safety or congestion	0				
3) Amenity Quality of life	Substantial amenity benefit to a large number of people	5	5	4	2	3
	Substantial amenity benefit to a small number of people	4				
	Medium amenity benefit	3				
	Small amenity benefit	2				
	Smaller amenity benefit	1				
	No amenity benefit	0				
4) History of Requests	HIGH: Significantly High Number of Previous Requests (10+)	5				
	MEDIUM: 5-10 Number of Previous Requests	3	3			
	LOW: 1-5 Number of Previous Requests	1		1	1	1
5) Bus route?	Yes	5	5	5	5	5
	No	0				
6) Problems with disabled access, Junction visibility or cul-de-sac access point?	Yes	5	5			5
	No	0		0	0	
7) High volume traffic generators within 250m? (school, shops, restaurants, hospital etc.)	Yes	5	5	5	5	
	No	0				0
8) Third Party funded? (Planning Condition)	Yes	5				
	No	0	0	0	0	0
9) Duration of Problem	All day	5				
	Working day (9am-5pm)	4	4	4	4	4
	Peak Times (8-10 am & 4-6pm)	3				
	Night Time (after 6pm)	2				
	short periods	1				
10) Est Cost of TRO (incl advertising and associated works)	<£5K	5		5		5
	£5K - 7.5K	4			4	
	£7.5K - £10K	3	3			
	£10K - £15K	2				
	>£15K	1				
11) Engineer's Recommendation (TSRGD, TSM, Highway Code guidance etc.)	High	5	5			5
	Med	3		3		
	Low	1			1	
		<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>

## **TRAFFORD BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**Report to:** Scrutiny  
**Date:** 11 March 2020  
**Report for:** Information  
**Report of:** Executive Member for Finance and Investment and the  
Corporate Director of Finance and Systems

### **Report Title**

**Executive's Response to Scrutiny Committee's Recommendations to the Budget Proposals for 2020/21**

### **Summary**

At the Executive meeting on the 27 January 2020 a report was presented by the Scrutiny Committee chair, setting out their comments and findings from the review of the Executive's draft budget proposals for 2020/21.

The Executive values the contribution that the Scrutiny Committee makes to the budget process and is committed to working with Scrutiny Committee during the forthcoming year as part of their planned work programme.

This report contains a detailed response to each of the points raised by the Scrutiny Committee in their report.

### **Recommendation(s)**

That the Executive's response to the Scrutiny Committee be approved.

### **Contact person for access to background papers and further information:**

Name: Nikki Bishop  
Extension: 4884

Background Papers: None

Relationship to Policy Framework/Corporate Priorities	The Scrutiny review of the budget is a requirement of the budget policy framework. It is relevant to all corporate priorities.
Financial	All financial implications are contained in the body of the report.
Legal Implications:	The Scrutiny review of the budget is a requirement of the Council's constitution.
Equality/Diversity Implications	There are none arising from this report.
Sustainability Implications	There are none arising from this report.
Resource Implications e.g. Staffing / ICT / Assets	There are none arising from this report.
Risk Management Implications	There are none arising from this report.
Health and Wellbeing Implications	There are none arising from this report.
Health and Safety Implications	There are none arising from this report.

**BUDGET SCRUTINY ACTION PLAN**

Area	Scrutiny Recommendation	Executive Response
<p><b>Investment management Strategy</b> – Members feel that as this is such a key part of the Council’s budget plans for the foreseeable future that it should be closely monitored by Scrutiny</p>	<p>Scrutiny asks that its Members receive training on the Investment Management Strategy. Scrutiny requests updates on the Investment Management Strategy every 6 months.</p>	<p>The Executive note this position and confirm that regular updates will be made available and also a training event will be arranged.</p>
<p><b>Council Reserves</b> - The Committee are concerned that the Council continues to operate with a comparatively low level of reserves and feel that Scrutiny need assurance that the Council’s position is robust.</p>	<p>Scrutiny request to have a dedicated session with Officers to discuss the Councils reserves in detail so that they have a full understanding of the Council’s position.</p>	<p>The Executive note this position and confirm that a further update session will be arranged.</p>
<p><b>Service Transformation</b> – Scrutiny support the Council’s position that services need to stop working in silos. Scrutiny wants to be kept updated as to the Council’s progress in this area to ensure that change is delivered.</p>	<p>Scrutiny recommends that the Executive Members for Adults and Children’s Services and Senior officers be involved in the creation of the Council’s Local Plan. Scrutiny asks that the Council’s transformation plans be brought to the relevant Scrutiny Committee. Scrutiny asks that the Executive works closely with the Children and Young People’s Scrutiny Committee around the development of the Council’s SEND Service</p>	<p>The Executive note this position.</p> <p>The Executive Member for Adult Social Care attended Scrutiny on 30/1/2020 to discuss the work across ASC and offer to bring any aspect of work or development and challenges to Scrutiny. The Committee is now considering aspects of the ASC they wish to scrutinise in the future.</p>
<p><b>Accommodation</b> – Scrutiny is concerned that the provision of accommodation within the borough is not currently sufficient to meet demand. Scrutiny welcomes the Executives plans to address this issue and support them in moving forward with these developments. Scrutiny also welcomes and supports the development of high level provision at the GM Level.</p>	<p>Scrutiny recommends that the Executive prioritise the development of additional provision within the borough. Scrutiny asks that the Executive provide regular updates on the development of this provision. Scrutiny requests that the Health Scrutiny Committee receive regular updates on the development of the high level facilities by GMCA.</p>	<p>The Executive note this position.</p> <p>ASC will ensure that any developments across GM that support Trafford residents are brought to the attention of Scrutiny. At present the only discussions across GM for shared resource relate to supported living accommodation for people with autism and learning disabilities.</p>

<p><b>Early Intervention and Prevention</b> – Scrutiny is concerned at the level of the Council’s services in this area. Scrutiny welcomes the investment in Children’s services and the Executives plans to continue this increased investment. Scrutiny also welcomes the ongoing work in Adults services, especially public health, in preventative services.</p> <p>Scrutiny wants to ensure that the benefits from the preventative work are captured and so would like to be informed of the methods used by the Council.</p>	<p>Scrutiny asks that the Executive update the Children and Young People’s Scrutiny Committee on the plans to improve the Council’s early intervention and prevention services.</p> <p>Scrutiny asks that the Health Scrutiny Committee receive updates on the impact of the Council’s Cancer screening and immunisation programmes</p> <p>Scrutiny requests an update on the benefit realisation methods used by the Council for preventative services.</p>	<p>The Executive note this position.</p> <p>Cancer screening update was well received at health scrutiny on 30/1/2020. Immunisation programme update was provided by health scrutiny in 2019.</p>
<p><b>Home to School Transport</b> – Scrutiny are concerned with the number of issues within this service as it provides support to some of Trafford’s most vulnerable residents.</p>	<p>Scrutiny asks that a report on this service and the planned developments be provided to the Children and Young People’s Scrutiny Committee.</p>	<p>The Executive note this position.</p>
<p><b>Commissioning</b> – Scrutiny recognises that commissioning is a large aspect of the services the Council delivers and feel that Scrutiny Members need to fully understand the service to be able to carry out their role.</p>	<p>Scrutiny would like for all Scrutiny Committee Members to receive training to enable them to see if the Council could reduce costs while improving services.</p>	<p>The Executive note this position.</p> <p>The services will develop a training pack for members and will arrange to deliver this in quarter 1 2020/2021.</p>
<p><b>Demand Led Services</b> – Scrutiny continue to be concerned regarding the Council’s vulnerability to sudden increases in demand. Scrutiny would like to receive additional assurance that the Council’s projections are sufficiently robust.</p>	<p>Scrutiny requests regular performance updates on demand led services.</p>	<p>The Executive note this position.</p> <p>Regular updates are included as part of the bi-monthly budget monitoring reports to Executive. Clarification of what the scrutiny committee would like to receive in addition to this information is needed.</p>